HOUSEBLEND

HOUSEBLEND / RESEARCH & PRACTICAL GUIDANCE

NetSuite Advanced Project Profitability: Verify Labor Costs

> Make NetSuite work better for your finance and operations teams with Houseblend. We help design, implement, integrate and improve ERP systems, with practical support for the people who use them every day.

Published by Houseblend · 2026-11-21 · netsuite advanced project profitability · netsuite project profitability report · netsuite project reconciliation · netsuite job costing · project actual cost vs budget · netsuite vendor bill project costing · netsuite project margin analysis · netsuite advanced project accounting

Original article: https://www.houseblend.io/articles/netsuite-advanced-project-profitability-labor-supplier-costs



In this article

1. Executive Summary
2. Introduction and Background
3. Key Changes: From the Legacy Report to Advanced Project Profitability
4. Implementation Considerations and Process Changes
5. Data Analysis and Evidence
6. Case Studies and Real-World Examples
7. Implications and Future Directions
8. Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs)
9. Conclusion

Executive Summary

A NetSuite project profit and loss (P&L) is credible only when each figure can be traced from a source transaction to a report cell, and when every compared number uses the same as-of date and recognition basis. Oracle states that the legacy **Project Profitability report** "is still supported but it's no longer being enhanced", and points users to **Advanced Project Profitability** (docs.oracle.com). Enabling the newer feature copies the legacy default as the standard configuration (docs.oracle.com), and Oracle says users "won't suffer any data loss" (docs.oracle.com). The case for moving is control over mapping, not new arithmetic: the feature uses filters to map accounts to columns and items to rows (docs.oracle.com).

Four categories of evidence must be kept apart. **Actual** transactions are completed and have general ledger (GL) impact, while **committed** transactions are planned and do not yet have GL impact (docs.oracle.com). Approved but unposted time is a third category: an Advanced Project Profitability preference lets approved time count as actual cost without posting it (docs.oracle.com), whereas the legacy report and the Cost Budget vs. Actual report take labor from posted time (docs.oracle.com). Recognized revenue is the fourth, and it follows revenue recognition rather than billing (docs.oracle.com).

The best-documented double-counting trap is the partially billed purchase order (PO). In the legacy report the full PO stays in committed cost while the partial vendor bill appears in total cost, "overstating Cost + Committed" (docs.oracle.com). Planned Work can likewise double-count committed costs under Advanced Project Profitability (docs.oracle.com). Whether the same PO behavior applies under a given Advanced configuration is not stated on the pages reviewed, so it must be tested in the tenant.

Timing and context differences explain most apparent mismatches. Profitability reports are calculated "at set times during the day" and can be recalculated manually (docs.oracle.com); Project 360 portlets depend on the profitability configuration (docs.oracle.com); the legacy report does not support reporting by period (docs.oracle.com). A reconciliation therefore fixes the as-of date, the subsidiary context, the configuration and the recalculation time before any numbers are compared. The source-to-report mapping is summarized in Table 1, the legacy-versus-Advanced decision in Table 2, and a hypothetical acceptance test with explicit as-of dates in Table 3. This report does not use a universal margin benchmark. A NetSuite consultant should validate the configuration and a sample transaction trace before the P&L is relied on for decisions.

Introduction and Background

This report is written for chief financial officers, services controllers and NetSuite project leads who must decide when Advanced Project Profitability is needed and what evidence makes a project P&L credible. It is not a comparison of professional services automation (PSA) products and it does not choose a billing method. The question here is whether reported margin is right. This report supplies a source-to-report trace for labor, supplier costs, expenses, commitments and recognized revenue.

Project profitability in NetSuite is assembled from several ledgers that behave differently. NetSuite's own materials describe project accounting as tracking costs to the project in addition to billing and revenue recognition (www.netsuite.com), and note that every project transaction is tied to a general ledger account (www.netsuite.com). Oracle describes Job Costing and Project Budgeting as a way to track project profitability and "tie it back to your general ledger" (docs.oracle.com). Yet the same documentation distinguishes posting from non-posting transactions: those that "don't impact the general ledger are called non-posting transactions" (docs.oracle.com). Purchase orders are the standard example. A margin that mixes posting and non-posting amounts without labeling them is a forecast, not a ledger result.

Independent accounting guidance explains why the distinction matters. Under government accounting definitions, nothing is recorded for an order until the entity accepts the goods or services (www.gao.gov), at which point a payable and the related expense are recorded (www.gao.gov). A commitment is only an administrative reservation in anticipation of an obligation (www.gao.gov). For revenue, the cost-to-cost input method compares costs incurred with total expected inputs (viewpoint.pwc.com), and firms must exclude costs that do not depict progress (kpmg.com). A project cost error therefore moves both margin and, where cost drives progress, recognized revenue.

The evidence base is mostly Oracle's own help documentation, reviewed for this report, plus standards-body, government, accounting-firm and NetSuite partner material for context. Oracle's help pages carry no publication dates, so the findings are stated "as of November 2026", the date they were read. Scope limits are listed in the Conclusion.

Key Changes: From the Legacy Report to Advanced Project Profitability

Change 1: The legacy report is frozen, not removed

Oracle says the legacy report is supported but not enhanced (docs.oracle.com). It requires the Job Costing and Budgeting feature (docs.oracle.com) and shows "the profitability of all active projects for the entire life of the project" (docs.oracle.com). Advanced Project Profitability requires the Project Management feature (docs.oracle.com). For a controller, "supported but not enhanced" means the legacy logic will not gain new mapping capability, so any tenant needing custom treatment of items or accounts will eventually have to adopt the newer configuration model.

Change 2: Mapping becomes an explicit, auditable rule set

The legacy report relies on a fixed category matrix. For example, labor total cost comes from journal entries created by posting time (docs.oracle.com), expense cost from approved employee expense reports (docs.oracle.com), supplier cost from approved vendor bills (docs.oracle.com), and the "Other" cost from the debit side of manual journal entries (docs.oracle.com). Advanced Project Profitability lets a user define both the account and item mapping (docs.oracle.com). Standard columns include Actual Cost, Actual Revenue and Committed Cost (docs.oracle.com), and standard rows include Asset, Expense, Other and Service (docs.oracle.com). Include rules combine with OR logic and exclude rules with AND logic (docs.oracle.com), so a transaction must satisfy at least one include rule and all exclude rules to appear (docs.oracle.com). Each rule is therefore a piece of audit evidence that should be documented.

Change 3: Approved time can be actual cost without a GL posting

The preference "Treat Tracked & Approved Time as Actual Cost" enables a user to select approved time as actual costs without posting time (docs.oracle.com). Oracle says this makes estimate-at-completion and estimate-to-complete calculations more accurate (docs.oracle.com). The consequence for reconciliation is direct: with the preference on, project labor can exceed GL-posted labor. Under the posting model, time must be saved and approved before it is available for posting (docs.oracle.com), and posted time is what feeds labor cost in the profitability calculation (docs.oracle.com). The difference between the two is a standing reconciling item that should be quantified every close.

Change 4: Actual versus committed becomes a column filter

Column definitions carry a transaction subfilter that selects Actual + Committed, Actual, or Committed (docs.oracle.com). Cost, Actual Cost and Committed Cost columns in the standard configuration share the same account-type filter (Cost of Goods Sold, Expense, Other Expense) and differ by subfilter (docs.oracle.com). Purchase orders, sales orders and planned time are listed in the settings but are not selected by default, and "the unselected transactions are excluded from the profitability report" (docs.oracle.com). Whether committed purchasing appears at all is thus a configuration choice, not a given.

Change 5: Results are calculated on a schedule

Oracle states that project profitability reports are calculated at set times during the day (docs.oracle.com), with a manual Recalculate button (docs.oracle.com). Changes to a work breakdown structure or advanced budget may not appear in the dataset immediately, and, for the Advanced Project Profitability dataset, recalculation occurs automatically every 24 hours or manually per project (docs.oracle.com). The P&L subtab, by contrast, is described as showing information in real time (docs.oracle.com). Two numbers for the same project can therefore legitimately differ for hours; the reconciliation record must name the calculation timestamp.

Implementation Considerations and Process Changes

Source-to-report matrix

Table 1 below traces each source to the report mechanics documented by Oracle. It is a template: the right-hand column is what a reviewer should retain as proof, and cells marked "test" are not documented on the pages reviewed.

Source	When it affects the GL	Legacy report treatment	Advanced configuration lever	Proof to retain
Labor (posted time)	Posting time creates a journal entry debiting the project expense-type account (docs.oracle.com)	Total cost from journal entries created from posted time (docs.oracle.com)	Time Entry column filter includes all project time entries (docs.oracle.com)	Journal entry, time record, labor cost rate source
Labor (approved, unposted)	None until posted	Not counted, because labor is from posted time (docs.oracle.com)	Preference treats approved time as actual cost (docs.oracle.com)	Approved-not-posted time list at the as-of date
Supplier (vendor bill)	Test (timing not documented on pages reviewed)	Approved vendor bills (docs.oracle.com)	Item-type rows mapped to accounts (docs.oracle.com)	Bill line with project, item and account
Supplier (open PO)	None, because POs are non-posting (docs.oracle.com)	Unfulfilled POs for non-inventory and service items are committed (docs.oracle.com); partial billing caveat (docs.oracle.com)	PO inclusion is a Settings choice, off by default (docs.oracle.com); test partial-bill behavior	PO listing with billed and open amounts
Expenses	Test (timing not documented on pages reviewed)	Approved employee expense reports (docs.oracle.com)	Expense categories and "Uncategorized Expenses" row (docs.oracle.com)	Expense report lines with project tag
Recognized revenue	Via invoices and revenue recognition entries	Invoices with GL impact to revenue, plus recognition journals (docs.oracle.com)	Revenue columns; charges preference shows charges as committed revenue (docs.oracle.com)	Revenue arrangement or invoice and recognition journal
Manual journals	Immediately	Debit side of manual journal entries lands in "Other" (docs.oracle.com)	Account-type filters on columns	Journal with project segment

The matrix shows why a single "project cost" figure is ambiguous. Two rows (approved but unposted time and open POs) have no GL footprint, and uncategorized expenses lack an item or expense category mapping, so these three are the usual sources of unexplained variance.

Labor: rates, grouping and cost allocation

Labor cost is derived, not invoiced, so its credibility depends on the rate. The employee labor cost rate is "used to calculate project costs and profitability" (docs.oracle.com), and resource rates can be overridden on individual project tasks (docs.oracle.com). For posted time, the selected task record determines the labor cost (docs.oracle.com). A project expense type maps by default to the Direct Labor account (docs.oracle.com), and a service item's expense type overrides the project's unless the project's override box is checked (docs.oracle.com). Journal entries from posting are grouped by project by default (docs.oracle.com) and are dated with the posting date, not the original time entry date (docs.oracle.com), which creates a period mismatch whenever time is posted late.

Several controls follow. Manually checking the Posted box does not create a journal entry (docs.oracle.com), so imported time marked as posted needs a separate trace. Editing posted time requires voiding the posting first (docs.oracle.com). Payroll payment is not automated: a manual journal entry debits the project cost variance account when payroll completes (docs.oracle.com). This is why fully loaded cost matters; one NetSuite consultancy notes that a loaded rate includes benefits, payroll taxes and allocated overhead rather than salary alone (www.brokenrubik.com). That is a partner view, so the costing basis (standard rate versus loaded rate) should be a documented policy decision. Labor cost allocation in a project P&L is governed by four levers: rate source, task override, expense type and posting date.

Supplier costs and commitments

Project association happens on lines. In the Purchase to Project SuiteApp the project link sits on item or expense lines of a PO or vendor bill (docs.oracle.com), and a project's procurement view shows only POs and vendor bills associated with the project (docs.oracle.com). Its invoiced-amount column counts only billable items and expenses, so it is not a full cost figure (docs.oracle.com). In the Sequential Liability SuiteApp, a mixed-project bill is attributed to the first project on the expense lines (docs.oracle.com). For billable expenses, the bill must identify the customer or project (docs.oracle.com), and the PO must be billed before the customer can be billed (docs.oracle.com).

The Cost Budget vs. Actual report takes supplier cost from vendor bills with item lines tied to the project and excludes inventory items (docs.oracle.com). The P&L subtab applies the same exclusion: approved vendor bills except for inventory items (docs.oracle.com). A partner source asserts that standard NetSuite does not combine open PO amounts with incurred cost (suitepacific.com); Oracle's documentation shows committed filters in Advanced Project Profitability (docs.oracle.com), so the two statements differ in scope and the tenant's own configuration decides. Vendor bill project costing is therefore reliable only for item lines, with inventory handled elsewhere.

Configuration decision checklist

Table 2 converts the documentation into a decision aid for the legacy-versus-Advanced choice. Each question has a documented basis and an acceptance proof.

Decision question	If yes	Documented basis	Proof before go-live
Do you need approved-but-unposted time in actual cost?	Use Advanced; enable the approved-time preference	(docs.oracle.com)	Variance report: approved cost minus posted cost equals unposted list
Do you need custom item or account mapping?	Use Advanced	(docs.oracle.com)	Mapping rule list signed off by controller
Do you want committed POs in the P&L?	Select Purchase Orders in settings; test partial bills	(docs.oracle.com)	PO with partial bill traced in both columns
Do you use Planned Work?	Keep Planned Time off in custom settings	(docs.oracle.com)	Committed cost with and without planned time compared
Do you operate in OneWorld?	Fix subsidiary context in every comparison	(docs.oracle.com)	Same figures per subsidiary and consolidated
Is the legacy default sufficient?	Keep it, accept no enhancements	(docs.oracle.com)	Documented sign-off that matrix categories fit your items
Who validates the trace?	An experienced NetSuite consultant, internal or external	Not a documented Oracle step	Written test results with transaction IDs

The table reflects a practical rule. If labor cost is recognized only at posting, and the company posts time monthly, the legacy report can be adequate. If management decisions rely on current labor cost or on committed exposure, the Advanced configuration is the route Oracle continues to develop. Required features also differ: Job Costing and Project Budgeting depends on Projects, Project Management and Time Tracking (docs.oracle.com), and Oracle states that the Project Margin indicator needs either Job Costing and Project Budgeting or Advanced Project Profitability (docs.oracle.com). Licensing availability must be confirmed with an Oracle account representative; no license detail was found in the pages reviewed.

Reconciling movements and preventing double counting

A reconciliation compares like with like. The recommended sequence is:

- **Fix the basis:** record the as-of date, the configuration name, the subsidiary context and the calculation timestamp.
- **Separate actual from committed:** actual ties to GL impact (docs.oracle.com); committed never will (docs.oracle.com).
- **List unposted approved time:** it is the labor bridge between report and GL when the preference is on.
- **List partially billed POs:** subtract billed amounts from the committed column, or confirm the configuration already does so.
- **Exclude planned time from committed cost** when Planned Work is in use (docs.oracle.com).
- **Check inventory items:** supplier cost excludes them in the budget report (docs.oracle.com), so they must be reconciled to item fulfillments or inventory journals separately (docs.oracle.com).
- **Tie revenue to recognition journals:** revenue recognition journal entries reduce the committed amount in the legacy matrix (docs.oracle.com).

Audit practice supports this method. PCAOB standard AS 1105 defines recalculation as checking mathematical accuracy (pcaobus.org) and reperformance as independent execution of procedures originally performed by company personnel (pcaobus.org). A second preparer who reruns the bridge uses exactly those techniques. Documentation matters too: a university finance office states that documenting completed reconciliations is essential to effective internal controls (finance.cornell.edu), including when it was done and who reviewed it (finance.cornell.edu).

Comparing the project P&L, GL, Project 360 and budget vs. actual

Four views are commonly compared, and each has a defined basis.

- **Project P&L subtab:** displays current revenue, cost, profit and margin in real time (docs.oracle.com).
- **Project 360 profitability portlet:** matches the data in the Profit and Loss view (docs.oracle.com), with columns depending on the configuration (docs.oracle.com); Profit is revenue less project cost (docs.oracle.com), and Margin is profit as a percentage of revenue (docs.oracle.com). Its refresh default is every 24 hours, and Oracle advises recalculating from the Profitability Report page (docs.cloud.oracle.com).
- **Cost Budget vs. Actual:** labor from posted time, expenses from expense reports, supplier from vendor bills (docs.oracle.com). Variance is budget minus actual (docs.oracle.com), and partial-month selections hide the budget (docs.oracle.com).
- **General ledger and Transaction Detail:** the Transaction Detail report shows an account even for non-posting transactions, namely the account planned to be affected (docs.oracle.com), and the Workbook version includes both posting and non-posting transactions (docs.oracle.com). A GL tie-out must filter to posting lines.

The legacy report's total cost is described as consistent with actuals in the Cost Budget vs. Actual report (docs.oracle.com), which gives a built-in cross-check between two views. Project margin analysis then compares recognized revenue with total project cost (docs.oracle.com). Budget accuracy has its own limits: expense, supplier and other budgets are entered manually (docs.oracle.com), and only labor can be calculated automatically (docs.oracle.com). Variance on those lines reflects estimating quality, not ledger error.

Refresh timing, roles and subsidiary context

Freshness varies by tool. Workbook pivot tables and charts refresh after 60 minutes of inactivity by default (docs.oracle.com), profitability reports are calculated at set times (docs.oracle.com), and the P&L subtab is real time (docs.oracle.com). Date logic also differs. If a report filters by date range, records are selected by transaction date, and if it filters by period, by posting period (docs.oracle.com). The legacy report does not support reporting by period at all (docs.oracle.com), so tying it to a period-end GL needs a cutoff procedure.

In OneWorld, the legacy report offers a Subsidiary Context selection (docs.oracle.com), a consolidated node includes children (docs.oracle.com), and a restricted role sees data limited to its access level (docs.oracle.com); two users can therefore see different totals. Consolidated reports use the Consolidated Exchange Rates table, and budget amounts translate with a separate Budget Exchange Rates table (docs.oracle.com). Intercompany job costing first books labor to the employee's subsidiary ledger (docs.oracle.com), then moves it through intercompany journal entries (docs.oracle.com); it requires a

OneWorld account (docs.oracle.com). A sub-project takes the parent's subsidiary (docs.oracle.com), and any employee can be assigned to any project regardless of subsidiary (docs.oracle.com). Accounting-book and currency effects apply to SuiteQL or dataset work as well: transaction accounting lines hold data per book (docs.oracle.com) and store values in the subsidiary's base currency (docs.oracle.com).

Accounting-policy decisions to document

A project P&L also rests on accounting policies that no NetSuite setting can supply. The following decisions should be written down and approved, each with an independent reference point:

- **Cost cutoff:** tax guidance on accrual accounting says an expense generally is not deducted or capitalized until economic performance occurs (www.irs.gov), and that costs directly associated with a period's revenue are allocable to that period (www.irs.gov). These are tax references used here only as a general statement of accrual logic.
- **Received-not-invoiced:** a state accounting manual accrues goods and services received but not yet scheduled for payment (www.dgs.ca.gov), and treats goods not yet received as encumbrances (www.dgs.ca.gov), which parallels the actual-versus-committed split.
- **Revenue basis:** an accounting-firm guide describes the right-to-invoice practical expedient, under which revenue equals the amount the entity may invoice (rsmus.com), while another notes that revenue is no longer recognized simply because costs are incurred (wiss.com).
- **Progress measure:** a worked contractor example recognizes the same proportion of the transaction price as revenue as the proportion of cost incurred (www.bakertilly.com); the chosen measure must be applied consistently.
- **WIP schedule inputs:** an effective work-in-process schedule includes the contract price, estimated total cost, and costs to date (www.aicpa-cima.com), and its numbers should be crosschecked against other financial statements (www.aicpa-cima.com).
- **Labor cost source:** a NetSuite partner describes report labor as calculated from timesheet entries and employee cost rates (www.anchorgroup.tech), and NetSuite's own article observes that labor is typically the most expensive project cost (www.netsuite.com).
- **Supplier cost definition:** the same partner describes supplier cost as third-party vendor charges allocated to specific projects (www.anchorgroup.tech).
- **Commitment visibility:** a NetSuite whitepaper argues that comparing budgeted, committed and actual costs shows what has been obligated, not only what has been spent (www.netsuite.com).
- **Open-commitment definition:** a SuiteApp vendor defines committed cost as open purchase orders and subcontracts not yet billed into accounts payable (www.bluecollar.cloud).
- **WIP ownership:** one consultancy cautions that work in process is not simply a report category (versich.com), so a named owner should explain each timing difference.

These decisions are policy choices for the finance team and its auditors, not Oracle requirements, and they should be reviewed with qualified accounting advisers.

Data Analysis and Evidence

Quantitative evidence on project P&L accuracy is thin and mostly second-hand. Primary Oracle documentation gives definitions and timings but no error rates. Industry data come from SPI Research, whose 2026 Professional Services Maturity Benchmark draws on 509 organizations representing over 245,000 consultants (spiresearch.com). The full report is paywalled, so the figures below are reported as summarized by vendors and are not independently confirmed.

- **Revenue leakage:** one vendor summary reports a five-year low of 4.5% in 2025 (rocketlane.com), and a second, sponsored by a software vendor, gives "around 4.5%" (sapinsider.org). The latter defines leakage as the gap between earned and realized revenue (sapinsider.org). Both restate SPI, so they are not independent confirmations.
- **Real-time visibility:** the executive visibility score is reported at 3.53 in 2025, down from 3.65 in 2024 (rocketlane.com).
- **Financial integration:** high-performing organizations integrate PSA with core financials at 64.6% versus 53.1% for others (rocketlane.com).
- **Utilization discrepancy:** a 2026-edition summary reports billable utilization of 66.4% for 2025 (www.deltek.com), while a summary of the 2025 edition reports 68.9% (blog.workday.com). These are different survey years, not a contradiction.
- **Hidden cost drift:** one vendor analysis argues that unbilled hours on client work are often logged to bench codes (www.certinia.com), which distorts individual project margins. This is an assertion, not measured data.

The data support a cautious reading: integration of project and financial systems is associated with better visibility, but no source reviewed quantifies how often a NetSuite project P&L fails to reconcile. No universal margin benchmark is offered here.

Earned-value references add a method. GAO states that in earned value management, actual costs are recorded by the accounting system and reconciled to the value of work performed (www.gao.gov), and that a cost-charging structure must exist before work starts (www.gao.gov). NASA says comparing earned value with actual cost from accounting systems gives an objective measure of cost efficiency (www.nasa.gov), and the Project Management Institute warns that a dashboard can mislead if the baseline underneath is soft (www.pmi.org). For job-cost subledgers, an accounting textbook states that all work-in-process job cost sheets together equal the work-in-process balance (openstax.org), and defines a job cost sheet as a subsidiary ledger of individual job costs (openstax.org). The same tie-out principle applies to the project P&L: the sum of project actuals should explain the project-tagged GL balances.

Revenue-side evidence points the same way. Costs incurred must be adjusted for items that do not contribute to progress (www.ifrs.org) such as wasted materials or labor (kpmg.com), and the cost that does not contribute is removed from both numerator and denominator of the cost-to-cost measure (rsmus.com). Changes in estimates are accounted for as changes in estimate (rsmus.com). A partner source gives the cost-to-cost formula as actual cost to date over estimated cost at completion (www.bluecollar.cloud). NetSuite's percent-

complete revenue plans, however, require hours assigned to project tasks for accurate completion figures (docs.oracle.com), so the tenant's progress measure may be hours-based rather than cost-based and must be confirmed.

Case Studies and Real-World Examples

No named customer case with verifiable project P&L figures was found in the sources reviewed, so both examples below are **(Hypothetical Example)** acceptance tests. They use invented figures to show how to build the proof.

Fixed-fee project FF-100 as of 2026-10-31 (Hypothetical Example)

Assume a fixed fee of $240,000, an estimate at completion of $150,000, and a policy that measures progress by cost-to-cost. Actual project costs at the as-of date are: posted labor journal entries $28,000, approved but unposted time $6,000, supplier vendor bills $18,000 (including an $8,000 bill against a $20,000 PO) and approved expense reports $8,000. Open commitment per the PO is $12,000. *Table 3* shows the waterfall under two bases.

Line	Approved time as actual cost	Posted time only (GL basis)	Explanation
Labor, posted	$28,000	$28,000	Journal entries from time posting
Labor, approved not posted	$6,000	$0	Only counted when the preference is on
Supplier, vendor bills	$18,000	$18,000	Includes $8,000 partial bill
Expenses	$8,000	$8,000	Approved expense reports
Actual project cost	**$60,000**	**$54,000**	Sum of the lines above
Progress (actual / $150,000)	40.0%	36.0%	Cost-to-cost policy
Recognized revenue	**$96,000**	**$86,400**	Progress times $240,000
Gross profit (revenue minus actual cost)	**$36,000**	**$32,400**	Excludes commitments
Committed exposure (separate)	$12,000	$12,000	Open PO $20,000 less $8,000 billed
Legacy-style committed display	$20,000	$20,000	Full PO shown until fully billed (docs.oracle.com)

The table makes three points. First, gross profit equals recognized project revenue minus actual project cost, and committed exposure stays outside it. Second, a $6,000 labor difference changes revenue as well as cost under a cost-to-cost policy, so margin differs by $3,600 between bases; this is the sensitivity an approver should understand before choosing a basis. Third, if a report displays a $20,000 commitment alongside an

$8,000 bill, cost plus commitment is overstated by $8,000, which mirrors the Oracle caveat for the legacy report (docs.oracle.com). The example is illustrative arithmetic, not NetSuite output, and NetSuite's own percent-complete rules may measure progress differently (docs.oracle.com).

Acceptance tests for FF-100:

- **Test 1, labor:** list approved time not posted; the amount must equal the labor difference between bases.
- **Test 2, supplier:** trace each vendor bill line to the project, item and account; confirm inventory items are handled outside supplier cost (docs.oracle.com).
- **Test 3, commitment:** confirm the PO's open amount in the configuration chosen, and record the observed treatment of the $8,000 partial bill.
- **Test 4, revenue:** tie recognized revenue to recognition journals or arrangements; for project revenue rules, confirm the prerequisites (docs.oracle.com) and that transactions with a project and charge type do not create a separate arrangement (docs.oracle.com).
- **Test 5, refresh:** recalculate, record the timestamp, and compare P&L subtab, report and portlet.

Time-and-materials project TM-200 as of 2026-10-31 (Hypothetical Example)

Assume 400 approved hours at a $150 bill rate and a $70 labor cost rate, with 350 hours invoiced ($52,500), 50 hours unbilled ($7,500), and a $5,000 vendor bill passed through to the customer at cost. Labor cost is 400 hours times $70, or $28,000, and supplier cost is $5,000, so actual cost is $33,000. Recognized revenue on an invoiced basis is $52,500 plus $5,000, or $57,500, giving gross profit of $24,500. If the unbilled $7,500 were accrued as revenue, gross profit would be $32,000. The difference is a cutoff and recognition-basis choice, not an error. The legacy matrix counts recognized revenue from invoices with GL impact for service items and billable time (docs.oracle.com), and expense-based charges invoiced to customers appear as income (docs.oracle.com). The test passes only if the P&L, GL and the report agree on the invoiced basis, and the unbilled amount is shown separately, for instance through the Unbilled Receivable account type (docs.oracle.com). Closing out charge-based projects by marking them completely billed supports final reconciliation (docs.oracle.com).

Implications and Future Directions

For finance leaders, the practical implication is that a credible project P&L is a controlled report, not a screen. Documentation from Oracle shows that scope, mapping and timing are configuration choices (docs.oracle.com), so changes to settings should follow change control. The internal control literature supports this: the COSO framework, originally issued in 1992 and refreshed in 2013 (www.coso.org), is the usual reference for control design, and PCAOB guidance stresses that audit evidence must be both relevant and reliable (pcaobus.org). Records retention is also relevant; US government cost rules require adequate records to show claimed costs were incurred (www.acquisition.gov), and a labor-law fact sheet states that records on which wage computations are based should be retained for two years (www.dol.gov). These are general references, not NetSuite requirements, and a retention policy should be confirmed with qualified advisers.

For analysis, SuiteQL offers a path to independent tracing; it is available through SuiteAnalytics Connect and the N/query module (docs.oracle.com), and the Records Catalog reflects the customizations and features enabled in each account (docs.oracle.com). Another vendor's guide notes that a project is a Job record and

that one expense report can carry lines for several projects (www.suitegenius.ai), which is why joins must run at line level. Treat such third-party guidance as a starting point and verify field names against the tenant's own catalog.

On choosing support, the decision is about who validates the trace. Whoever implements the configuration, an independent consultant should also review the mapping rules and a sample transaction trace for any tenant. Oracle's direction of travel favors the Advanced configuration, so new projects are better placed there, while stable legacy tenants can defer migration if their sign-off documents the matrix limits. One caution: some partner commentary describes limits of standard job costing for construction use, such as period-end forecast snapshots that fix numbers for accounting (fullclarity.com) and committed versus invoiced cost views (fullclarity.com). Those are vendor-specific positions and should be tested against Advanced Project Profitability before any customization is purchased.

Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs)

What is the NetSuite project profitability report, and what does it show? The legacy report compares actual project revenue and costs over the life of the project (docs.oracle.com); Advanced Project Profitability extends this with configurable rows and columns (docs.oracle.com).

How do I reconcile project profitability to the GL? Fix the as-of date and configuration, restrict to posting transactions, list unposted approved time and open POs, then tie recognized revenue to recognition entries. Reconciliation involves resolving discrepancies found when comparing ledgers to source records, and subsidiary ledgers should reconcile to the general ledger (iu.pressbooks.pub).

How are project actual costs compared with budget? The Cost Budget vs. Actual report reports variance as budget minus actual (docs.oracle.com); only labor budgets calculate automatically (docs.oracle.com).

How does labor cost allocation work? Rate, task override, expense type and posting date drive it, as described above (docs.oracle.com).

Is approved time actual cost? Only if the Advanced preference is on (docs.oracle.com); otherwise labor is taken from posted time (docs.oracle.com).

Why does Project 360 differ from the project record? The portlet depends on the configuration chosen (docs.oracle.com) and refreshes on its own schedule (docs.cloud.oracle.com).

Does a timesheet system supply the evidence? Timesheets are among the source documents to which ledger balances are compared in reconciliation (iu.pressbooks.pub).

Conclusion

A NetSuite project margin can be defended when four conditions hold: the recognition basis is declared, actual and committed amounts are separated, approved-but-unposted time is quantified, and every compared report shares an as-of date, subsidiary context and recalculation time. Oracle's documentation supports each of these controls, and its statement that the legacy report is supported but no longer enhanced (docs.oracle.com) gives a reason to design new work around Advanced Project Profitability.

Limits of this report are material. Oracle's help pages carry no dates, no license tier details were found, partially billed PO behavior under custom configurations is undocumented on the pages read, and no official page for the SuiteQL transaction accounting line posting field was located. Industry figures come from vendor summaries of a paywalled benchmark. The worked examples are hypothetical arithmetic, not NetSuite output, and this report does not size the market for the topic. The recommended next step is to run the acceptance tests on a sandbox account and have an experienced NetSuite consultant validate the configuration and a sample transaction trace before relying on the results.

Source links

Links cited in this article, in order of first appearance. Full addresses are provided for readers using extracted text.

1. https://docs.oracle.com/en/cloud/saas/netsuite/ns-online-help/section_4217939417.html
2. https://docs.oracle.com/en/cloud/saas/netsuite/ns-online-help/section_1484339384.html
3. https://docs.oracle.com/en/cloud/saas/netsuite/ns-online-help/section_1530301667.html
4. https://docs.oracle.com/en/cloud/saas/netsuite/ns-online-help/section_164172068374.html
5. https://www.netsuite.com/portal/resource/articles/accounting/project-accounting-concepts-and-business-calculations.shtml
6. https://www.netsuite.com/portal/products/professional-services-automation/project-accounting.shtml
7. https://docs.oracle.com/en/cloud/saas/netsuite/ns-online-help/chapter_3748436271.html
8. https://docs.oracle.com/en/cloud/saas/netsuite/ns-online-help/chapter_N545359.html
9. https://www.gao.gov/assets/a76916.html
10. https://viewpoint.pwc.com/dt/us/en/fasb_financial_accou/trg_revenue/trg_revenue_US/evaluating_how_contr_US.html
11. https://kpmg.com/kpmg-us/content/dam/kpmg/frv/pdf/2016/us-revenue-engineering-construction.pdf
12. https://docs.oracle.com/en/cloud/saas/netsuite/ns-online-help/section_3751154057.html
13. https://docs.oracle.com/en/cloud/saas/netsuite/ns-online-help/section_3751160832.html
14. https://docs.oracle.com/en/cloud/saas/netsuite/ns-online-help/section_N1188347.html
15. https://docs.oracle.com/en/cloud/saas/netsuite/ns-online-help/section_3751152449.html
16. https://docs.oracle.com/en/cloud/saas/netsuite/ns-online-help/section_3748436379.html
17. https://www.brokenrubik.com/blog/netsuite-project-management-guide
18. https://docs.oracle.com/en/cloud/saas/netsuite/ns-online-help/section_161251396811.html
19. https://docs.oracle.com/en/cloud/saas/netsuite/ns-online-help/section_160327228862.html
20. https://docs.oracle.com/en/cloud/saas/netsuite/ns-online-help/section_158071367737.html
21. https://docs.oracle.com/en/cloud/saas/netsuite/ns-online-help/section_N1250082.html
22. https://suitepacific.com/netsuite-job-costing-standard-vs-custom
23. https://docs.oracle.com/en/cloud/saas/netsuite/ns-online-help/chapter_4740572949.html
24. https://docs.oracle.com/en/cloud/saas/netsuite/ns-online-help/chapter_N1179876.html
25. https://pcaobus.org/oversight/standards/auditing-standards/details/AS1105
26. https://finance.cornell.edu/node/904273
27. https://docs.cloud.oracle.com/en/cloud/saas/netsuite/ns-online-help/section_164252378090.html

28. https://docs.oracle.com/en/cloud/saas/netsuite/ns-online-help/section_N1319264.html
29. https://docs.oracle.com/en/cloud/saas/netsuite/ns-online-help/section_158220052678.html
30. https://docs.oracle.com/en/cloud/saas/netsuite/ns-online-help/section_3751156348.html
31. https://docs.oracle.com/en/cloud/saas/netsuite/ns-online-help/section_0727022719.html
32. https://docs.oracle.com/en/cloud/saas/netsuite/ns-online-help/article_1210032559.html
33. https://docs.oracle.com/en/cloud/saas/netsuite/ns-online-help/section_N278946.html
34. https://docs.oracle.com/en/cloud/saas/netsuite/ns-online-help/section_N278654.html
35. https://docs.oracle.com/en/cloud/saas/netsuite/ns-online-help/section_4037320943.html
36. https://docs.oracle.com/en/cloud/saas/netsuite/ns-online-help/section_N1182865.html
37. https://docs.oracle.com/en/cloud/saas/netsuite/ns-online-help/section_1548805090.html
38. https://www.irs.gov/publications/p538
39. https://www.dgs.ca.gov/Resources/SAM/TOC/10600/10608
40. https://rsmus.com/content/dam/rsm/insights/financial-reporting/1pdf/revenue-recognition-considerations-for-the-construction-industry.pdf
41. https://wiss.com/asc-606-implementation-for-construction-contractors
42. https://www.bakertilly.com/insights/revenue-recognition-for-contractors
43. https://www.aicpa-cima.com/resources/article/wip-schedules-blueprints-for-solid-construction-accounting
44. https://www.anchorgroup.tech/blog/netsuite-project-management
45. https://www.netsuite.com/portal/assets/public-pdf/wp-five-strategies-to-improve-project-profitability-with-netsuite.pdf
46. https://www.bluecollar.cloud/blog/netsuite-wip-reporting-over-under-billing
47. https://versich.com/blog/netsuite-project-accounting-for-professional-services-margins
48. https://spiresearch.com/reports/2026-ps-maturity-benchmark
49. https://rocketlane.com/blogs/professional-services-maturity-index-2026
50. https://sapinsider.org/articles/5-kpis-from-the-spi-professional-services-maturity-benchmark-report-every-pso-must-track-for-sustainable-growth
51. https://www.deltek.com/en/blog/professional-services-benchmarks
52. https://blog.workday.com/en-us/4-make-or-break-priorities-professional-service-firms-2025.html
53. https://www.certinia.com/blog/where-did-the-margin-go-the-silent-drain-of-revenue-leakage-in-professional-services
54. https://www.gao.gov/pdf/product/705312
55. https://www.nasa.gov/ocfo/ppc-corner/evm/tutorial
56. https://www.pmi.org/blog/earned-value-management
57. https://openstax.org/books/principles-managerial-accounting/pages/4-5-compute-the-cost-of-a-job-using-job-order-costing
58. https://www.ifrs.org/content/dam/ifrs/meetings/2012/october/iasb/rr-1012-07b.pdf
59. https://docs.oracle.com/en/cloud/saas/netsuite/ns-online-help/section_4356983516.html
60. https://docs.oracle.com/en/cloud/saas/netsuite/ns-online-help/section_4642885587.html
61. https://docs.oracle.com/en/cloud/saas/netsuite/ns-online-help/bridgehead_4686104505.html
62. https://www.coso.org/guidance-on-ic

63. https://www.acquisition.gov/far/31.201-2
64. https://www.dol.gov/agencies/whd/fact-sheets/21-flsa-recordkeeping
65. https://docs.oracle.com/en/cloud/saas/netsuite/ns-online-help/section_156257799794.html
66. https://docs.oracle.com/en/cloud/saas/netsuite/ns-online-help/article_159367781370.html
67. https://www.suitegenius.ai/blog/netsuite-project-costing-profitability
68. https://fullclarity.com/netsuite-construction-job-costing
69. https://iu.pressbooks.pub/accountingclose/chapter/standards-4

THE PUBLISHER

About Houseblend

Houseblend is a NetSuite consulting firm serving finance and operations teams. We help organizations implement ERP systems, connect business applications, improve existing configurations and maintain the systems that support everyday work. Our audience includes finance leaders, controllers, operations managers, NetSuite administrators and implementation teams.

Implementation and architecture

Houseblend provides NetSuite implementation, architecture and data migration services. We help teams evaluate how business processes, reporting requirements and existing data should fit together in an ERP environment. Training supports the people responsible for adopting and operating the resulting system.

Integrations, customization and AI

Our services include NetSuite integrations and customization, as well as AI integrations and AI transformation work. These engagements connect ERP data and workflows with the broader application landscape. The right design depends on the organization's systems, controls and operating needs.

Improve and support an existing system

Houseblend offers NetSuite health checks, optimization, managed support and project rescue services. We also provide expertise for analytics and specialist workflows, including NetSuite Analytics Warehouse, warehouse management and field service management. Published educational material helps teams investigate options and prepare informed questions for their implementation or support work.

Work with Houseblend

Explore NetSuite implementation, integrations, managed support and AI integrations. Contact Houseblend to discuss your current system and priorities.

Article examples explain concepts rather than promising a particular license, product capability, delivery schedule or outcome. Engagement scope is confirmed with the Houseblend team.

Website: https://www.houseblend.io

This article is educational. Verify consequential medical, legal, financial, regulatory and technical decisions with appropriate professionals and the cited primary sources. Company services are described separately from the article's research findings.